

CLERK: (Read Bereuter amendment found on page 535 of the Journal).

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Mr. President, just to clarify, specifically, what I'm doing, the first part of the amendment the Revisor of the E & R section downstairs took some wording out that was in the bill, wording that should be in. In the second part he's replacing a phrase contained herein with the word "section". Contained herein should be replaced, but not with "section". It should be replaced with "the act" because the prohibition, that I put in the bill, should apply not only to that section but to the entire act. I think I'm not changing the intent at all. In fact, I'm reinstating the language that you approved by the passage of the bill on General File the other day. That is all I'm doing.

PRESIDENT: You've heard the motion. It is the Chair's opinion that this requires 25 votes on a machine vote. Would you wish to be heard again, Senator Cullan?

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if it would be possible to bracket the bill until Tuesday so I could check this out and see why this was done by the E & R staff.

PRESIDENT: You're making a request for unanimous consent?

SENATOR CULLAN: Yes sir.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter. There is an objection. Would you want to make a motion now?

SENATOR CULLAN: I'll make a motion to that effect.

PRESIDENT: Alright. Do you want to be heard on that? Senator Bereuter, on the motion to bracket.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Mr. President, I don't believe that the E & R staff should be making amendments that change the content of the bill and the intent of the bill. I don't think, as an introducer of the bill, that I should suffer by having this bill delayed when that kind of activity takes place inadvertently I'm sure.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Just for clarification I wonder if I could ask Senator Bereuter a question.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes sir.

SENATOR CARSTEN: As I understand, Senator Bereuter, this was an error that was made in the drafting of the amendment. Is this correct? Or might it have been an intentional error?

SENATOR BEREUTER: I'm sure it was not an intentional error. He is simply taking some wording out of my bill and substituting new wording for it. It changes the intent of the bill.